



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,049	02/28/2006	Paul S. Zerwekh	OPT SYS-012	1871
29626	7590	08/16/2007	EXAMINER	
THE H.T. THAN LAW GROUP WATERFRONT CENTER SUITE 560 1010 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			NOORI, MAX H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2855		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/570,049	ZERWEKH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Max Noori	2855	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1 and 55-81 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1 and 55-81 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/28 and 10/9/06</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 55, 61, 63-69, 77, 79, 80-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wavering.

Regarding claim 1, 61, 67- 69, 81, Wavering et al., discloses an interferometer sensors with features of the claimed invention including provision of the plurality of sensing regions (see, for example, the abstract or col. 4. line 29-30), including pressure sensing region (see claim 14) and temperature sensing regions (claim 15). The pressure region comprises a cavity (element 30, col. 5, line 48), diaphragm (element 40), and plurality of reflecting surfaces (see, for example, col. 3, line 28, or figure 1A), for interferometer signal indicative of the distance changes between theses surfaces.

Regarding claims 55, 77, and 79, Wavering discloses provision of directing light in to the cavity (col. 4, line 25).

Regarding claims 63-64, Wavering teaches plurality of reflected surfaces for various parameter sensing

Regarding claims 65-66, Wavering teaches plurality of reflected surfaces (col. 4, line 7).

Regarding claim 80, the sensor can be used for any reasonable application.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 56-60, 62, 70-76 and 78 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wavering as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lu (US Patent No. 5,345,519).

Regarding claims 56-57, Even though it appears that Wavering optical sensor is a hollow tube, but he kept silent to elaborate on such arrangement. Use of hollow tube in optical sensor devices however, is notoriously known in the art, for example, Lu discloses a fiber optic strain sensor with a hollow tube. It would have been obvious there, for a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to assume that Wavering sensor is fundamentally is a hollow tube. Element 51 also serves as an end cap.

Regarding claim 58, Wavering fails to discuss any coating, however, the use of coating in optical sensor for more reflection is well known in the art. Lu shows such coating (for example, see element 55). Hence, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to provide a coating on the reflecting surfaces of Wavering in order to allow for more complete reflection.

Regarding claim 59, coating is a modification.

Regarding claim 60, the provision of the mirror coating acts as a lens.

Regarding claims 70-71, the Lu teaches unitary constructions and similar materials.

Regarding claims 72, 78, Lu shows similar configuration for the tubes.

Regarding claim 74, the sensing regions are in the tubes.

Regarding claim 75, the tubes have hollow portions.

Regarding claim 62, 73, and 76 since the use of various glass materials is known in fiber optical sensor, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to modify Wavering/Lu to use any suitable glass or any suitable design in order to provide for more accurate result and less light distraction.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (571) 272-2185. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The central fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHN  
Tuesday, August 07, 2007



MAX NOORI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER